



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,673	02/13/2002	Carol Caroselli	3818-011197	6087
7590 09/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Frederick B. Ziesenheim, Esq.			SMITH, JAMES G	
Webb Ziesenhei	im Logsdon Orkin & Han	son, P.C.		
700 Koppers Building			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
436 Seventh Avenue			3765	
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/074,673	CAROSELLI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James G Smith	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repositive of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 I	May 2004					
	is action is non-final.					
,		secution as to the merits is				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Glosed III accordance with the practice ander	Expanto quaylo, 1000 0.5. 11, 10					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.					
,—	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
,		(4) (6)				
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		)-(a) or (t).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applicati	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	(PTO-413) ate.				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/074,673 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 7-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

2. The indicated allowability of claims 13-17 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Soucy (D255,774). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lo (5,594,419). Lo discloses a holder for an article having a base portion 10 with a flat bottom surface and a second upper surface. Hanger 15 is pivotally connected to base portion 10 rotating about a horizontal axis lying between the bottom surface and the second upper surface of the base portion as shown by the broken line with arrows in FIG. 1. Hanger 15 lies below the bottom surface of the base portion when the base portion is resting on a horizontal surface. With respect to Claim 2, FIG. 1 clearly shows the end of the hanger 15 rotatably connected to a pivot joint on the base portion. With respect to Claim 3, the pivot joint is along the outer edge of the base portion. With respect to Claim 7, the hanger is a C-shaped loop. With respect to Claim 9, the holder is structurally adapted to suspend an article selected from the group comprising a scarf and a glove.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,673 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo (5,594,419) in view of Creed (5,094,417). Lo essentially teaches the invention of Claim 4, but fails to teach a pad positioned adjacent to the base portion. Creed discloses a support for a handbag that has a pad of anti-skid material under the base portion of the support. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a pad on the bottom of the base portion of the device of Lo to prevent marring of the surface the device is attached to.
- 7. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo (5,594419) in view of Schwartz (D429,899). Lo essentially teaches the invention of the instant application, but fails to teach the base portion of the device being circular. Schwartz discloses a purse holder with a circular base. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the base of the device circular to make reading the clock on the base easier. With respect to Claim 8, Lo fails to provide a ball positioned at the free end of the C-shaped loop. However, Schwartz discloses a ball positioned on the free end of the hanger. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a ball shaped member on the end portion of the C-shaped loop portion of Lo to make the device more user friendly and less likely to puncture or abrade items placed on the loop.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,673

Art Unit: 3765

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo (5,594,419) in 8. view of Davis (2,532,255). Lo essentially teaches the invention claimed, but fails to teach the base portion of the device being made of metal. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use metal to make the base portion of Lo to increase the weight of the device to more securely contact the surface of a table or counter.

Page 4

- Claims 6, 13, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 9. Lo (5,594,419) in view of Soucy (D255,774). With respect to Claims 6, 13, and 18, Lo essentially teaches the invention claimed, but fails to teach a substantially closed O-shaped loop at the end of the hanger. However, Soucy discloses a device with a substantially closed Oshaped loop at the end of the hanger. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a substantially closed O-shaped loop at the end of the hanger to more securely suspend an article. With respect to Claim 16, the hanger is pivotally connected to the base.
- Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo (5,594,419) in 10. view of Soucy (D255,774) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Creed (5,094,417). Lo in view of Soucy essentially teaches the invention claimed, but fails to teach a pad positioned adjacent to the base portion. Creed discloses a support for a handbag that has a pad of anti-skid material under the base portion of the support. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a pad on the bottom of the base portion of the device of Lo to prevent marring of the surface the device is attached to.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/074,673

Art Unit: 3765

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 11.

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James G Smith whose telephone number is 703-605-4225. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John J Calvert can be reached on 703-305-1025. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JGS

8/26/2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700